

### Determining Stability of Texas Horned Lizards (*Phrynosoma cornutum*) and Harvester Ants (*Pogonomyrmex spp.*) in Small Texas Towns Using GIS **Rachel Alenius - M.S. Biology, Department of Biology**

### Introduction

• Texas horned lizards have experienced large declines throughout their range, especially in urban areas. <sup>1,2</sup>

- Urbanization negatively impacts horned lizards through: o Habitat modification, destruction, and fragmentation
  - o Increased mortality from human activity

o Reduced arthropod prey availability from pesticide use and invasive fire ants (*Solenopsis invicta*)



Karnes City. Karnes County is shown in red.



• Dr. Dean Williams (TCU Dept. of Biology) has been studying urban populations occurring in Kenedy and Karnes City (TX) (Fig. 2) since 2013.

**Objective:** to determine stability of horned lizard populations in Kenedy and Karnes City.





Figure 4. Study sites in a) Kenedy and b) Karnes City. Dark Blue sites have been utilized since 2013 (N=10). Light blue (N=3) and grey (N=2) sites added in 2014 and 2015, respectively.

# Methods

# **SAMPLE COLLECTION:**

o We collected GPS coordinates of harvester ant mounds (Fig. 3) and horned lizards from 10-15 sites (Fig. 4) during the summers of 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016.

o The number of times each site was searched varied between years (2013 & 2014: N<6, 2015: N=11, 2016: N=8).

#### **DATA ANALYSIS:**

o After consolidating data from 2013-2016, I determined the number of unique lizards captured each year.

o I used ArcGIS software to determine the number of lizards and harvester ants occuring at each site during each year, then calculated density by dividing by the area of each site (in hectares).

o I determined the stability of populations by testing for significant differences in average number and density of horned lizards and harvester ants between sites and years.

o To determine if food availability influences the size and stability these populations, I tested for correlation between average number and density of horned lizards and harvester ants at each site, and compared these values to those reported by Whiting et al. 1993.

Faculty Advisors: Dr. Dean Williams, Biology, and Tamie Morgan, SGEE



**Figure 1**. Pogonomyrmex barbatus



Figure 3. Harvester ant mound



Figure 5. No significant relationship between average no. of harvester ant mounds and lizards per year at each site. The number of horned lizards at each site (blue points) is generally higher than predicted by Whiting et al. 1993 (grey line)<sup>3</sup>. School 1 is not shown.



**Figure 6.** Horned lizard found in Karnes City.



o Texas horned lizard populations appear to be stable in Kenedy and Karnes City, despite pressure from human development.

o Populations of horned lizard occuring in Kenedy and Karnes City do not appear to be dependent on harvester ant availability. This is consistent with results of dietary studies, which have found that harvester ants typically account for <10% of the diets of these lizards.

o We have not been able to explain the excessively high numbers of horned lizards occuring at School 1.

o The disappearance of the population at Bond and Johnson suggests habitat fragmentation and reduced gene flow may pose a serious risk to horned lizards in urban areas.

in Texas horned lizard ( Phrynosoma cornutum) in Texas. Texas Journal of Science 46(2):97-113. 2. Pianka, E.R. and W.S. Parker. 1975. Ecology of Horned Lizards: a review with special distribution of a population of a of Texas horned lizards (*Phrynosoma cornutum*: Phrynosoma cornutum) and harvester ants (*Pogonomyrmex spp.*). Ecology 60(4):686-694.

### Results

o The average number of horned lizards per year was statistically different between sites (One-Way ANOVA,  $F_{14.38} = 34.74$ , p<0.000001). Tukey-Kramer post-hoc tests revealed School 1 has statistically higher average number of lizards (57.3 ± 3.8 lizards/year) than all other sites (p<0.000001), while none of the remaining sites were statistically different from one another (p>0.079).



**Figure 7.** Trends in number of individual lizards captured yearly at 8 study sites. Most sites experienced a net increase (blue) or no change (grey) in the no. of individual lizards captured each year. Red lines indicate sites which have declined substantially. Increases in lizard captures per year may be a reflection of increased search effort in 2015 and 2016.

o The sudden disapperance of lizards from Bond & Johnson in 2016 may be a result of inbreeding depression. Previous studies found lizards at this site were genetically isolated from the rest of Karnes City, suggesting US Highway 181 provides a significant barrier to gene flow (Fig. 4b).

### Conclusions

# Funding & Acknowledgements







Figure 8. There is no statistical difference between average number of lizards captured 2013-2016, suggesting from site per populations are stable. Values were calculated including (dark blue) and excluding (light blue) School 1. Error bars represent +1 SEM.



Figure 9. Average density of harvester antsis not statistically different between years or from natural habitats (15.9 mounds/hectare)<sup>3</sup>. Error bars represent +1 SEM.

Special thanks to all of the individuals who have contributed to the collection of this data, especially Dean Williams, Daniella Biffi, Ashley Wall, Alexis Ackel, Mallory Melton, and Kaitlyn Upton. Funding for this project has previously been provided by RCAF and TCUIS grants to D. Williams.