

## The Open Cluster Chemical Abundances and Mapping (OCCAM) Survey: Optical Extension for Neutron Capture Elements







Matthew Melendez<sup>1</sup>, Julia O'Connell<sup>1</sup>, Peter Frinchaboy<sup>1</sup>, John Donor<sup>1</sup>, Katia Cunha2, Matthew Shetrone<sup>3</sup>, Steven Majewski<sup>4</sup>, Gail Zasowski<sup>5</sup>, Marc Pinsonneault<sup>6</sup>, Alexandre Roman-Lopes<sup>7</sup>, Keivan Stassun<sup>8</sup> <sup>1</sup>Texas Christian University, <sup>2</sup>Observatorio Nacional-MCTI., <sup>3</sup>University of Texas, <sup>4</sup>University of Virginia, <sup>5</sup>StSci, <sup>6</sup>Ohio State, <sup>7</sup>U. La Serena, <sup>8</sup>Vanderbilt



**Abstract:** The Open Cluster Chemical Abundance & Mapping (OCCAM) survey is a systematic survey of Galactic open clusters using data primarily from the SDSS-III/APOGEE-1 survey. However, neutron capture elements are very limited in the IR region covered by APOGEE. In an effort to fully study detailed Galactic chemical evolution, we are conducting a high resolution (R~60,000) spectroscopic abundance analysis of neutron capture elements for OCCAM clusters in the optical regime to complement the APOGEE results. As part of this effort, we present Ba II, La II, Ce II and Eu II results for a few open clusters without previous abundance measurements using data obtained at McDonald Observatory with the 2.1m Otto Struve telescope and Sandiford Echelle Spectrograph.



### **Introduction:**

The Open Cluster Chemical Abundance & Mapping (OCCAM, Frinchaboy et al. 2013) survey, has begun a systematic survey of over 100 Galactic open clusters using the Sloan Digital Sky Survey-III/Apache Point Observatory Galactic Evolution Experiment (APOGEE) survey.

The OCCAM seeks insight into Galactic evolution trends with detailed analysis of light and iron-peak elements determined from the APOGEE infra-red survey. The r- and s- process elements, however, are elusive in the infrared spectra, but critical to our understanding of Galactic evolution. We leverage the APOGEE-based part of the OCCAM survey to provide initial parameters for the abundance analysis of these neutron capture elements for eight stars located in three clusters.

Cluster	[Fe/H]	Error	Number of Stars	[Ba II /Fe]	Error	Number of Stars	[La II/Fe]	Error	Number of Stars
N 103	-0.01	0.11	2	0.29	0.09	2	0.45	0.04	2
N 457	0.07	0.12	3	0.26	0.05	2	0.52	0.07	3
IC 4996	0.01	0.12	3	0.08	0.11	2	0.33	0.09	3
Cluster	[Ce II/Fe]	Error	Number of Stars	[Eu II /Fe]	Error	Number of Stars	r- / s-	Error	Number of Stars
N 103	0.21	0.03	2	0.18	0.02	2	0.19	0.11	8
N 457	0.17	0.04	3	0.27	0.05	3	0.28	0.10	11
IC 4996	0.36	0.02	3	-0.02	0.04	3	0.15	0.15	11



A comparison of our derived stellar parameters to that of the APOGEE data is shown in the two graphs located at the bottom left.

The most widely used line to generate the synthetic spectra of Ce II was  $\lambda$ 6043; for Eu II the  $\lambda$ 6645 line

was used the most; for La II there were many options, and the most used were  $\lambda$ 5805,  $\lambda$ 6390, and  $\lambda$ 6774.



# 

## **Future Work:**

synthesis graphs to the right.

Adding more stars to each cluster, as well as gathering a larger number of clusters to our sample will allow us to be more comfortable in our derived abundances for the clusters. This will also allow us to be more confident in any trends seen in the clusters as both a function of age and, eventually, distance.

ABOVE: an example of the model atmosphere

created in MOOG to constrain our stellar parameters

such as effective temperature, log g, and [Fe/H]. This

star is IC 4996 0105, the same star in the spectral



#### **Comparisons to APOGEE data:**

top left) this figure shows our effective temperatures for each star compared to APOGEE derived effective temperatures; bottom left) this figure shows our calculated log g for each star compared to the APOGEE calculated log g; above) this figure shows our calculated [Fe/H] for each star compared to the [Fe/H] calculated by APOGEE

Note that two of our eight stars (both from cluster IC 4996) do not

0.4





have APOGEE parameter data, so could not be compared here.

We acknowledge funding from the TCU RCAF, SERC, and JFSRP programs from the National Science Foundation (AST-0907873, AST-1311835). Funding for the Sloan Digital Sky Survey IV has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, and the Participating Institutions. SDSS acknowledges support and resources from the Center for High-Performance Computing at the University of Utah. The SDSS Web site is http://www.sdss.org/.

SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions of the SDSS Collaboration including the Brazilian Participation Group, the Carnegie Institution for Science, Carnegie Mellon University, the Chilean Participation Group, the French Participation Group, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, The Johns Hopkins University, Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (IPMU) / University of Tokyo, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Leibniz Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP), Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie (MPIA Heidelberg), Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik (MPA Garching), Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik (MPE), National Astronomical Observatories of China, New Mexico State University, New York University, University of Notre Dame, Observatório Nacional / MCTI, The Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, United Kingdom Participation Group, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, University of Arizona, University of Colorado Boulder, University of Oxford, University of Portsmouth, University of Virginia, University of Washington, University of Wisconsin, Vanderbilt University, and Yale University.



€ 0.4

**ABOVE:** The above figures show each element analyzed in this study, for each cluster, with respect to age.