

TCU SCIENCE VENGINEERING

Kevin Bien, Samantha Shah, Dimitri McLain, Emily Sugg, Diana Villalta Palenica, Shayla Smith, Maria Solis, Andrew Magee, Soseh Asadoorian, Brenton G. Cooper Psychology Department, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, TX 76129

Introduction

- The zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*) is widely studied by neuroscientists as a model of animal vocal learning because of its singing behavior.
- Classification algorithms are used to separate zebra finch songs from others noises so that singing can be studied.
- Current classification algorithms are slow and difficult to use, but convolutional neural network (CNN) models offer a promising alternative.
- CNNs are a class of deep neural networks that apply learned filters to extract high-level information (features) from input data and are often used for image classification.
- In this project, we developed a CNN based algorithm and compared its performance to a baseline template-matching algorithm that is currently in use.

Methods

• Audio recordings can be represented as images, which can then be processed by a CNN for classification.



Figure 1. Spectrogram representations of a segment of zebra finch song (top) and other vocalizations (bottom).

- The CNN developed in this project was trained using recordings from 5 zebra finches.
- These recordings were processed into 0.5s files containing either song or other vocalizations and noise.



Figure 2. An example of a preprocessed spectrogram used to train the CNN.

A Convolutional Neural Network to Classify Zebra Finch Song



		An	ar	
		True Cla		
	Baseline	Song	No	
Class	Song	170		
redicted (Noise	63		
Pred	110150	63		

Figure 4. Confusion matrices for baseline (left) and CNN (right) algorithms. • For the baseline algorithm, Acc = 0.946 and $\kappa = 0.714$, while for the CNN-based algorithm, Acc = 0.942 and $\kappa = 0.687$. • These data indicate that the baseline algorithm slightly

		True	Class	 The algorithm based on the 			
	Tuned CNN	ned CNN Song Noi		tuned CNN	ned CNN outperformed the		
l Class	Song	169	45	other algoi 0.949 and	other algorithms, with $Acc = 0.949$ and $\kappa = 0.7248$.		
edictec	Noise						
Pre		64	1876				
Figure 5. Confusion matrix for the tuned CNN algorithm. Figure 6. Time comparison of the algorithms.							
• The processing speed of				Time Comparison to Process a Single Folder			
both algorithms was			500	431.27			
compared for a single			400	-			
folder with 33 files.			(s) 300	-			
 The CNN-based algorithm required only 				-			
3.9% of the time the			100	-	4.6.04		
baseline required.			0		16.81		
				Baseline	CNN		

- identification.
- generalize across zebra finches.





ysis and Results



outperforms the CNN-based algorithm on this dataset.

Conclusions

 CNN based audio classifications algorithms can achieve comparable performance to current algorithms for song

• The CNN was able to recognize singing in birds that were outside of the original training set, suggesting that the model can

• CNN based methods are dramatically faster than current algorithms, potentially enabling real time song detection.

• Expanding the training data set improved model performance.

Acknowledgements: We thank Lindy Bledsue for expert animal care.